



A Short History of Configuration Technologies

Lothar Hotz^{*}, Alexander Felfernig[†], Andreas Günter^{*}, and Juha Tiihonen[‡]

^{*}HITeC e.V., University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

[†]Graz University of Technology, Graz, Austria

[‡]Aalto University, Aalto, Finland



Contents

- Rule-based Configurators
- Early model-based Configurators
- Mainstream Configuration Environments
- Mass Customization Toolkits



Rule-based Configurators (1)

- Weak Artificial Intelligence („Weak AI“)
 - Narrow-sense interpretation of AI: support of specific problem solving tasks such as „configuration“.
- In the line of weak AI many expert systems were built in the 1970's.
- Examples:
 - R1/XCON (computer configurator for DEC VAX systems)
 - VT (vertical transportation for Westinghouse Elevator Company)
- Structure of a rule:
 - IF <condition> THEN <action>



Rule-based Configurators (2)

ASSIGN-POWER-SUPPLY-1

IF: THE MOST CURRENT ACTIVE CONTEXT IS ASSIGNING A POWERSUPPLY
AND AN SBIMODULE OF ANY TYPE HAS BEEN PUT IN A CABINET
AND THE POSITION IT OCCUPIES IN THE CABINET IS KNOWN
AND THERE IS SPACE IN THE CABINET FOR A POWER SUPPLY
AND THERE IS NO AVAILABLE POWER SUPPLY
AND THE VOLTAGE AND FREQUENCY OF THE COMPONENTS IS KNOWN

THEN: FIND A POWER SUPPLY OF THAT VOLTAGE AND FREQUENCY
AND ADD IT TO THE ORDER

- Example of a rule in R1/XCON configurator
 - Condition part: current configuration context
 - Action part: actions to extend the current configuration



Rule-based Configurators (3)

- Problem of rule-based knowledge representations
 - Intermingling between domain and problem solving knowledge
 - Outcome of a configuration process depends on rule ordering
- Model-based knowledge representations
 - Clear separation between domain and problem solving knowledge
 - For example: $x > y$ defines a constraint but does not include directives how to instantiate x and y .
- Example of rule-based vs. model-based representations
 - $x > y$ (x, y in $[1..10]$) (model-based)
 - IF $x=10$ THEN $y=9$ (rule-based)



Early Model-based Configurators

- Model-based Configuration
 - Domain knowledge and problem solving knowledge is separated
 - Domain knowledge = the model
 - Changes in the domain knowledge do not effect the problem solving knowledge and vice-versa.
- Knowledge Representations
 - Constraint Satisfaction (CSPs) [Lauriere, 1978; Mackworth, 1977]
 - First component-oriented knowledge representations
- Example Systems
 - COSSACK, COCOS, Beologic, Trilogy, Selectica, Cameleon, SAP

Mainstream Configuration Environments (1)

- Component-oriented
- Development & test environments
- ERP integration
- Often: support of generative configuration functionalities
(= generation of components on-demand)
[Fleischanderl et al., 1998; Stumptner et al., 1998]
- Example Systems
 - Tacton, ConfigIT, EngCon, ILOG, SAP, BAAN, ORACLE





Mainstream Configuration Environments (2)

- Challenge: increasing size and complexity of configuration models
- In interactive settings the response time should be below 1 sec. [Card et al., 1991]
- Knowledge compilation
 - Binary decision diagrams (BDDs) [Andersen et al., 2010]
 - Solution automata [Amilhastre et al., 2002]
- Divide & Conquer based algorithms
 - Conflict detection [Junker 2004]
 - Diagnosis [Felfernig et al. 2012]
- Intelligent Testing & Debugging [Felfernig et al., 2004]



Mass Customization Toolkits

- Mass Customization toolkits ≈ Configurators
- Personalization functionalities [Cöster et al., 2002; Ardissono et al., 2003; Tiihonen and Felfernig, 2010]
- Integration of „Open Innovation“ paradigm [Chesbrough, 2003]
 - Strategic exploitation of external resources for the improvement of the innovation potential of a company
 - Open Configuration: new product/component ideas can be directly entered by customers
 - Example: cooperative development of computer games [Piller et al. (2004)]



Further Configuration Approaches

- Resource-based configuration [Heinrich & Jüngst, 1991]
- Functional configuration approaches [Stein, 1995]
- Description logic based configuration [McGuinness and Wright, 1998]
- Overviews: Forza and Salvador (2002); Günter and Kühn (1999); Jannach et al. (2007); Sabin and Weigel (1998); Soininen et al. (1998); Stumptner (1997)



Summary

- **From** initial applications as rule-based expert systems,
- **Via** early model-based configurators, which allow a clear separation of domain knowledge and problem-solving knowledge,
- **To** mainstream model-based configuration environments integrated in supply chains and ERP systems,
- **And** mass customization toolkits.



Thank You!



References (1)

- (1) Aldanondo, M., Vareilles, E., 2008. Configuration for mass customization: how to extend product configuration towards requirements and process configuration. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing* 19 (5), 521–535.
- (2) Aldanondo, M., Rouge, S., Veron, M., 2000. Expert configurator for concurrent engineering: Caméléon software and model. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing* 11 (2), 127–134.
- (3) Amilhastre, J., Fargier, H., Marquis, P., 2002. Consistency restoration and explanations in dynamic CSPs –application to configuration. *Artificial Intelligence* 135 (1–2), 199–234.
- (4) Andersen, H., Hadzic, T., Pisinger, D., 2010. Interactive cost configuration over decision diagrams. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 37, 99–139.
- (5) Ardissono, L., Felfernig, A., Friedrich, G., Goy, A., Jannach, D., Petrone, G., Schäfer, R., Zanker, M., 2003. A framework for the development of personalized, distributed web-based configuration systems. *AI Magazine* 24 (3), 93–108.



References (2)

- (6) Aschinger, M., Drescher, C., Vollmer, H., 2012. LoCo – a logic for configuration problems. In: 20th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI2012). IOS Press, Montpellier, France, pp. 73–78.
- (7) Bachant, J., McDermott, J., 1984. R1 revisited: four years in the trenches. *AI Magazine* 5 (3), 21–32.
- (8) Card, S., Robertson, G., Mackinlay, J., 1991. The information visualizer, an information workspace. In: CHI'91 Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. ACM, New Orleans, Louisiana, pp. 181–188.
- (9) Chesbrough, H., 2003. Open Innovation. The New Imperative for Creating and Profiting from Technology. Harvard Business School Press, Boston.
- (10) Chevaleyre, Y., Endriss, U., Lang, J., Maudet, N., 2007. A short introduction to computational social choice. In: 33rd Conference on Current Trends in Theory and Practice of Computer Science (SOFSEM-2007). Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 4362. Springer, Harrachov, Czech Republic, pp. 51–69.



References (3)

- (11) Cöster, C., Gustavsson, A., Olsson, R., Rudström, A., 2002. Enhancing web-based configuration with recommendations and cluster-based help. In: Francesco, R., Barry, S. (Eds.), AH'02 Workshop on Recommendation and Personalized in e-Commerce. Universidad de Málaga, Málaga, Spain, pp. 30–40.
- (12) Cunis, R., Günter, A., Strecker, H. (Eds.), 1991. The PLAKON-Book. Informatik-Fachberichte, vol. 266. Springer (in German: Das PLAKON-Buch).
- (13) Domshlak, C., Genaim, S., Brafman, R., 2000. Preference-based configuration of web page content. In: 14th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI 2000), Configuration Workshop, Berlin, Germany, pp. 19–22 (August 21–22).
- (14) Falkner, A., Schreiner, H., 2014. SIEMENS: configuration and reconfiguration in industry. In: Felfernig, A., Hotz, L., Bagley, C., Tiihonen, J. (Eds.), Knowledge-based Configuration – From Research to Business Cases. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Waltham, MA, pp. 199–210 (Chapter 16).



References (4)

- (15) Falkner, A., Felfernig, A., Haag, A., 2011. Recommendation technologies for configurable products. *AI Magazine* 32 (3), 99–108.
- (16) Felfernig, A., 2007. Standardized configuration knowledge representations as technological foundation for mass customization. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management* 54 (1), 41–56.
- (17) Felfernig, A., Burke, R., 2008. Constraint-based recommender systems: technologies and research issues. In: ACM International Conference on Electronic Commerce (ICEC08), Innsbruck, Austria, pp. 17–26.
- (18) Felfernig, A., Friedrich, G.E., Jannach, D., 2000. UML as domain specific language for the construction of knowledge-based configuration systems. *International Journal of Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering* 10 (4), 449–469.
- (19) Felfernig, A., Friedrich, G., Jannach, D., Stumptner, M., 2004. Consistency-based diagnosis of configuration knowledge bases. *Artificial Intelligence* 152 (2), 213–234.



References (5)

- (20) Felfernig, A., Schubert, M., Friedrich, G., Mandl, M., Mairitsch, M., Teppan, E., 2009. Plausible repairs for inconsistent requirements. In: 21st International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI'09), Pasadena, CA, pp. 791–796.
- (21) Felfernig, A., Schubert, M., Zehentner, C., 2012. An efficient diagnosis algorithm for inconsistent constraint sets. *Artificial Intelligence for Engineering Design, Analysis and Manufacturing (AI EDAM)* 26 (1), 53–62.
- (22) Felfernig, A., Jeran, M., Ninaus, G., Reinfrank, F., Reiterer, S., 2013a. Toward the next generation of recommender systems: applications and research challenges. In: Tsihrintzis, G.A., Virvou, M., Jain, L.C. (Eds.), *Multimedia Services in Intelligent Environments – Advances in Recommender Systems*. Smart Innovation. Systems and Technologies, vol. 24. Springer, Heidelberg, New York, Dordrecht, London, pp. 81–98.
- (23) Felfernig, A., Reiterer, S., Stettinger, M., Reinfrank, F., Jeran, M., Ninaus, G., 2013b. Recommender systems for configuration knowledge engineering. In: Workshop on Configuration, Vienna, Austria, pp. 51–54.



References (6)

- (24) Fleischanderl, G., Friedrich, G.E., Haselböck, A., Schreiner, H., Stumptner, M., 1998. Configuring large systems using generative constraint satisfaction. *IEEE Intelligent Systems* 13 (4), 59–68.
- (25) Forgy, C., 1981. OPS5 User's Manual. Tech. Rep. Technical Report CMU-CS-81-135, Computer Science Department, paper 2397, Carnegie Mellon University. <<http://repository.cmu.edu/compsci/2397>>
- (26) Forza, C., Salvador, F., 2002. Managing for variety in the order acquisition and fulfillment process: the contribution of product configuration systems. *International Journal of Production Economics* 76 (1), 87–98.
- (27) Franke, D., 1996. Trilogy configurator – position statement. In: 13th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI-96), Workshop on Configuration, No. AAAI FS-96-03, Portland, Oregon, pp. 148–149.
- (28) Franke, N., Piller, F.T., 2003. Key research issues in user interaction with configuration toolkits in a mass customization system. *The International Journal of Technology Management* 26 (5–6), 578–599.



References (7)

- (29) Frayman, F., Mittal, S., 1987. COSSACK: a constraint-based expert system for configuration tasks. In: Sriram, D., Adey, R. (Eds.), *Knowledge Based Expert Systems in Engineering: Planning and Design*. Computational Mechanics Publications, Woburn, MA, pp. 143–166.
- (30) Friedrich, G., Ryabokon, A., Falkner, A.A., Haselböck, A., Schenner, G., Schreiner, H., 2011. (Re)configuration based on model generation. In: Second Workshop on Logics for Component Configuration (LoCoCo 2011), Perugia, Italy, pp. 26–35.
- (31) Günter, A., 1995. Knowledge-based Configuration. Infix, St. Augustin (in German: Wissensbasiertes Konfigurieren).
- (32) Günter, A., Cunis, R., 1992. Flexible control in expert systems for construction tasks. *Journal of Applied Intelligence* 2 (4), 369–385.
- (33) Günter, A., Kühn, C., 1999. Knowledge-based configuration – survey and future directions. In: Puppe, F. (Ed.), *XPS-99: Knowledge Based Systems, Proceedings of the 5th Biannual German Conference on Knowledge Based Systems*. Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence, vol. 1570. Springer, Würzburg.



References (8)

- (34) Haag, A., 1996. SAP configurator – position statement. In: 13th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI-96), Workshop on Configuration, No. AAAI FS-96-03, Portland, Oregon, pp. 150.
- (35) Haag, A., 1998. Sales configuration in business processes. *IEEE Intelligent Systems* 13 (4), 78–85.
- (36) Haag, A., 2014. Product configuration in SAP – a retrospective. In: Felfernig, A., Hotz, L., Bagley, C., Tiihonen, J. (Eds.), *Knowledge-based Configuration – From Research to Business Cases*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Waltham, MA, pp. 319–337 (Chapter 27).
- (37) Haug, A., 2010. A software system to support the development and maintenance of complex product configurators. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology* 49 (1–4), 393–406.
- (38) Heinrich, M., Jüngst, E., 1991. A resource-based paradigm for the configuring of technical systems from modular components. In: 7th IEEE Conference on Artificial Intelligence for Applications (CAIA-91), Miami Beach, Florida, pp. 257–264 (February 24–28).



References (9)

- (39) Hotz, L., 2009. Frame-based Knowledge Representation for Configuration, Analysis, and Diagnoses of Technical Systems. Dissertation, University of Hamburg, Germany (in German: Frame-basierte Wissensrepräsentation für Konfigurierung, Analyse und Diagnose technischer Systems).
- (40) Hotz, L., Günter, A., 2014. KONWERK. In: Felfernig, A., Hotz, L., Bagley, C., Tiihonen, J. (Eds.), Knowledge-based Configuration – From Research to Business Cases. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Waltham, MA, pp. 281–295 (Chapter 24).
- (41) Hotz, L., Felfernig, A., Stumptner, M., Ryabokon, A., Bagley, C., Wolter, K., 2014. Configuration knowledge representation and reasoning. In: Felfernig, A., Hotz, L., Bagley, C., Tiihonen, J. (Eds.), Knowledge-based Configuration – From Research to Business Cases. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Waltham, MA, pp. 41–72 (Chapter 6).
- (42) Jannach, D., Felfernig, A., Kreutler, G., Zanker, M., Friedrich, G., 2007. Research issues in knowledge-based configuration. In: Mass-Customization Information Systems in Business. Idea Group, pp. 221–236 (Chapter 11).



References (10)

- (43) Jüngst,W., Heinrich, M., 1998. Using resource balancing to configure modular systems. *IEEE Intelligent Systems* 13 (4), 50–58.
- (44) Junker, U., 2004. QUICKXPLAIN: preferred explanations and relaxations for over-constrained problems. In: McGuinness, D.L., Ferguson, G. (Eds.), 19th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI'04). AAAI Press, pp. 167–172.
- (45) Junker, U., Mailharro, D., 2003. The logic of ILOG (J)configurator: combining constraint programming with a description logic. In: 18th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI-03). Configuration Workshop, Acapulco, Mexico, pp. 13–20.
- (46) Kopisch, M., Günter, A., 1992. Configuration of a passenger aircraft cabin based on conceptual hierarchy, constraints and flexible control. In: Belli, F., Radermacher, F. (Eds.), Industrial and Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence and Expert Systems (IEA/AIE-92). Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 604. Springer, Paderborn, pp. 421–430.



References (11)

- (47) Krebs, T., 2014. encoway. In: Felfernig, A., Hotz, L., Bagley, C., Tiihonen, J. (Eds.), *Knowledge-based Configuration – From Research to Business Cases*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Waltham, MA, pp. 271–279 (Chapter 23).
- (48) Lauriere, J., 1978. A language and a program for stating and solving combinatorial problems. *Artificial Intelligence* 10 (1), 29–127.
- (49) Mackworth, A., 1977. Consistency in networks of relations. *Artificial Intelligence* 8 (1), 99–118.
- (50) Mailharro, D., 1998. A classification and constraint-based framework for configuration. *Artificial Intelligence for Engineering Design, Analysis and Manufacturing (AI EDAM)* 12 (04), 383–397.
- (51) Marcus, S., Stout, J., McDermott, J., 1987. VT: an expert elevator designer that uses knowledge-based backtracking. *AI Magazine* 8 (4), 41–58.
- (52) McDermott, J., 1982. R1: a rule-based configurer of computer systems. *Artificial Intelligence* 19 (1), 39–88.
- (53) McGuinness, D., Wright, J., 1998. An industrial-strength description logic-based configurator platform. *Intelligent Systems and their Applications, IEEE* 13 (4), 69–77.



References (12)

- (54) Mittal, S., Frayman, F., 1989. Towards a generic model of configuration tasks. 11th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI-89), Detroit, Michigan, vol. 2 pp. 1395–1401.
- (55) Moeller, J., Andersen, H., Hulgaard, H., 2001. Product configuration over the internet. In: 6th INFORMS Conference on Information Systems and Technology, Miami Beach, Florida,.
- (56) Myllärniemi, V., Asikainen, T., Männistö, T., Soininen, T., 2005. Kumbang configurator – a configuration tool for software product families. In: 19th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI-05).
- (57) Configuration Workshop, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK, pp. 51–56. Neumann, B., 1988. Configuration expert systems: a case study and tutorial. In: Bunke, H.O. (Ed.), Proceedings of the 1988 SGAICO Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Manufacturing, Assembly, and Robotics, Oldenbourg, Munich, pp. 27–68.
- (58) Orsvärn, K., Axling, T., 1999. The Tacton view of configuration tasks and engines. In: AAAI'99 Workshop on Configuration, Orlando, Florida, pp. 127–130.



References (13)

- (59) Pargamin, P., 2002. Vehicle sales configuration: the cluster tree approach. In: ECAI 2002 Workshop on Configuration, Lyon, France, pp. 35–40.
- (60) Piller, F.T., Blazek, P., 2014. Core capabilities of sustainable mass customization. In: Felfernig,A., Hotz, L., Bagley, C., Tiihonen, J. (Eds.),Knowledge-based Configuration – FromResearch to Business Cases. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Waltham, MA, pp. 107–120 (Chapter 9).
- (61) Piller, F.T., Ihl, C., Füller, J., Stotko, C., 2004. Toolkits for open innovation – the case of mobile phone games. In: 37th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, pp. 1–10.
- (62) Ranze, K., Scholz, T.,Wagner, T., Günter, A., Herzog, O., Hollmann, O., Schlieder, C., Arlt, V., 2002. A structure-based configuration tool: drive solution designer – DSD. In: 14th Conference on Innovative Applications of AI (IAAI-02), Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, pp. 845–852.
- (63) Russel, S., Norvig, P., 2003. Artificial Intelligence – A Modern Approach, second ed. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.



References (14)

- (64) Sabin, D., Weigel, R., 1998. Product configuration frameworks – a survey. *IEEE Intelligent Systems* 13 (4), 42–49.
- (65) Searle, J., 1980. Minds, brains and programs. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 3 (3), 417–457.
- (66) Simons, P., Niemelä, I., Soininen, T., 2002. Extending and implementing the stable model semantics. *Artificial Intelligence* 138 (1–2), 181–234.
- (67) Sinz, C., Haag, A., Narodytska, N., Walsh, T., Gelle, E., Sabin, M., Junker, U., O'Sullivan, B., Rabiser, R., Dhungana, D., Grünbacher, P., Lehner, K., Federspiel, C., Naus, D., 2007. Configuration. *IEEE Intelligent Systems* 22 (1), 78–90.
- (68) Skovgaard, H., 1996. salesPLUS a product configuration tool. In: 13th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI-96), Workshop on Configuration. No. AAAI FS-96-03, Portland, Oregon, pp. 61–68.
- (69) Soininen, T., Tiihonen, J., Männistö, T., Sulonen, R., 1998. Towards a general ontology of configuration. *Artificial Intelligence for Engineering Design, Analysis and Manufacturing (AI EDAM)* 12 (4), 357–372.



References (15)

- (70) Soininen, T., Niemelä, I., Tiihonen, J., Sulonen, R., 2001. Representing configuration knowledge with weight constraint rules. In: Provetti, A., Son, T.C. (Eds.), First International Workshop on Answer Set Programming: Towards Efficient and Scalable Knowledge (AAAI Technical Report SS-01-01), pp. 195–201.
- (71) Soloway, E., Bachant, J., Jensen, K., 1987. Assessing the maintainability of XCON-in-RIME: coping with the problem of very large rule-bases. In: Proceedings of the Sixth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI-87), Seattle, Washington, pp. 824–829 (July 13–17).
- (72) Stegmann, R., Koch, M., Lacher, M., Leckner, T., Renneberg, V., 2003. Generating personalized recommendations in a model-based product configurator system. In: IJCAI 2003 Workshop on Configuration, Acapulco, Mexico, pp. 51–55.
- (73) Stein, B., 1995. Functional Models in Configuration Systems. Dissertation, Universität Paderborn, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science.



References (16)

- (74) Stumptner, M., 1997. An overview of knowledge-based configuration. *AI Communications* 10 (2), 111–126.
- (75) Stumptner, M., Haselböck, A., Friedrich, G., 1994. COCOS – a tool for constraint-based, dynamic configuration. In: 10th IEEE Conference on Artificial Intelligence for Applications (CAIA-94), San Antonio, TX, pp. 373–380.
- (76) Stumptner, M., Friedrich, G., Haselböck, A., 1998. Generative constraint-based configuration of large technical systems. *Artificial Intelligence for Engineering Design, Analysis and Manufacturing (AI EDAM)* 12 (4), 307–320.
- (77) Tiihonen, J., Anderson, A., 2014. VariSales. In: Felfernig, A., Hotz, L., Bagley, C., Tiihonen, J. (Eds.), *Knowledge-based Configuration – From Research to Business Cases*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Waltham, MA, pp. 309–318 (Chapter 26).
- (78) Tiihonen, J., Felfernig, A., 2010. Towards recommending configurable offerings. *International Journal of Mass Customization* 3 (4), 389–406.



References (17)

- (79) Tiihonen, J., Soininen, T., Niemelä, I., Sulonen, R., 2003. A practical tool for mass-customising configurable products. In: Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Engineering Design, Stockholm, Sweden, August 19–21, 2003, CDROM, p. 10 (Paper number: 1290).
- (80) Tiihonen, J., Heiskala, M., Anderson, A., Soininen, T., 2013. WeCoTin – a practical logic-based sales configurator. *AI Communications* 26 (1), 99–131.
- (81) Tiihonen, J., Felfernig, A., Mandl, M., 2014. Personalized configuration. In: Felfernig, A., Hotz, L., Bagley, C., Tiihonen, J. (Eds.), *Knowledge-based Configuration – From Research to Business Cases*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Waltham, MA, pp. 167–179 (Chapter 13).
- (82) Wright, J.R., Weixelbaum, E.S., Vesonder, G.T., Brown, K.E., Palmer, S.R., Berman, J.I., Moore, H.H., 1993. A knowledge-based configurator that supports sales, engineering, and manufacturing at AT&T network systems. *AI Magazine* 14 (3), 69–80.
- (83) Yu, B., Skovgaard, H., 1998. A configuration tool to increase product competitiveness. *IEEE Intelligent Systems* 13 (4), 34–41.